International Journal of Finance and Business Management (IJFBM)
Vol. 2 No. 2, 2024: 143 - 160

Surveying the Integration of Environmental Sustainability in Project Management: Challenges and Opportunities

Vijaya Kumar
Azteca University
Corresponding Author: Vijaya Kumar vijayultra27@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Environmental Sustainability, Project Management, Sustainable Practices, Business Policy, Organizational Change, Sustainability Challenges

Received : 16 January
Revised : 16 February
Accepted: 16 March

A B S T R A C T

This research paper, titled "Surveying the Integration of Environmental Sustainability in Project Management: Challenges and Opportunities," primarily focuses on understanding how Indian companies integrate environmental sustainability within project management frameworks. The objective was to identify the current state of sustainability integration, the challenges faced, and the potential opportunities available. The methodology encompassed a structured survey distributed to various professionals in project management across major Indian cities. A sample size of 300 was targeted, employing stratified random sampling to ensure diverse representation. The survey included a mix of demographic questions and specific queries about sustainability practices, challenges, and opportunities in project management.

Key findings revealed a significant variation in the integration of environmental sustainability across different industries and demographic groups. Older and more educated participants showed greater engagement in sustainability practices. Industries like IT and Energy were more proactive compared to Construction and Healthcare. Challenges identified included resistance to change and limited financial resources, while opportunities such as improved brand reputation and stakeholder engagement were also highlighted.

The study's implications are profound for both business strategies and policy formulation. It suggests a need for industry-specific sustainability frameworks and emphasizes the importance of tailoring strategies to different demographic segments. The findings also underscore the necessity for policymakers to foster environments that encourage and support sustainable practices in project management

INTRODUCTION

The integration of environmental sustainability in project management is an increasingly vital topic within the global business landscape. This necessity stems from the escalating environmental challenges and the corporate world's growing recognition of its role in fostering sustainability. The evolution of this integration can be traced back to the early discussions on sustainable development in the 1980s, specifically following the Brundtland Report of 1987, which emphasized the need for "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (Brundtland, 1987).

The subsequent decades saw a gradual shift in business operations, with an emphasis on not just economic performance but also on environmental and social responsibilities. The concept of the 'Triple Bottom Line,' introduced by John Elkington in 1997, further catalyzed this shift, proposing that businesses should prepare three different (and quite separate) bottom lines – profit, people, and planet (Elkington, 1997). This concept underscored the importance of environmental considerations in business practices, including project management.

In the context of project management, environmental sustainability involves the incorporation of eco-friendly practices and considerations into project planning, execution, and closure. It is not merely a trend but a strategic component that enhances the long-term viability and ethical standing of projects. The Project Management Institute (PMI) has increasingly acknowledged this by integrating sustainability in its frameworks and guidelines (PMI, 2010).

The current trends in this integration reflect a growing awareness and commitment among organizations. Companies are now actively seeking to minimize their ecological footprint, through strategies such as resource-efficient processes, sustainable supply chain management, and adherence to environmental regulations (Savitz and Weber, 2006). Moreover, project managers are now equipped with tools and methodologies to assess and mitigate environmental risks, ensure compliance with environmental standards, and leverage sustainable practices for better project outcomes.

The critical role of sustainability in project management is multifaceted. It enhances corporate reputation, meets stakeholder expectations, and often leads to cost savings in the long run. Sustainable practices in project management can lead to innovative solutions and improved resource efficiency, which not only benefit the environment but also contribute to the project's overall success (Kerzner and Kerzner, 2017). However, integrating these practices is not without challenges, such as additional costs, stakeholder resistance, and the need for specialized skills and knowledge.

In conclusion, the integration of environmental sustainability in project management is not a discretionary choice but a necessary evolution in business practices. As the world grapples with environmental crises, the role of project management in driving sustainable practices becomes ever more crucial. Future trends are likely to see a deeper integration of these practices, driven by

technological advancements, regulatory pressures, and a global consensus on the urgency of addressing environmental issues.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Review of Scholarly Works

The integration of environmental sustainability in project management is a multifaceted domain, evolving with various research insights. This literature review examines 9-10 scholarly works, reflecting on the development and current trends in this field.

Wang, Q., Li, H., Li, Y., & Liu, J. (2023). In "How Do Perceived Regulations Influence Environmentally Sustainable Project Management? The Mediating Role of Commitment and Moderating Role of Triple Constraint", the authors explore the impact of perceived regulations on sustainable project management, emphasizing the mediating role of organizational commitment and the moderating influence of project constraints. This study is significant in understanding the regulatory dynamics influencing sustainability in projects.

Embedding Sustainability in Project Management **(2023)**. This work delves into the methods of integrating sustainability principles into project management practices. It underscores the necessity of embedding sustainability at the core of project management methodologies.

Conedera, R. P., Zahid, A. T., Andersen, B., & Klungseth, N. J. (2023). "Overcoming sustainability barriers in facilities management by a project management framework for project governance" addresses the challenges and proposes a framework to integrate sustainability in facilities management. It highlights the importance of governance in sustainable project management.

De la Cruz López, M. P., Cartelle Barros, J. J., Del Caño Gochi, A., & Lara Coira, M. (2021). Their paper, "New Approach for Managing Sustainability in Projects," introduces innovative methodologies for embedding sustainability into project management. It adds a fresh perspective on sustainable project practices.

Madureira, R. C., Silva, C., Amorim, M., Dias, M. F., Lins, B. D. N., & Mello, G. (2022). In "Think Twice to Achieve a Sustainable Project Management: From Ecological Sustainability towards the Sustainable Project Management Cube Model", the authors propose the Sustainable Project Management Cube Model, offering a holistic view of sustainability in project management.

Alencar, L. M., Russo, R. D. F. S. M., & Kniess, C. T. (2021). "Socio-Environmental Perspective in Project Stakeholder Management: The Railway Line 13 Case Study" discusses the integration of socio-environmental aspects in stakeholder management within project settings, offering valuable case study insights.

Apenko, S., & Fomina, Y. (2021). Their study, "Sustainability Management of Business Projects in Large Russian Companies," provides an international perspective on how large corporations manage sustainability in their business projects. It highlights cultural and organizational differences in sustainability practices.

Toljaga-Nikolić, D., Todorović, M., Dobrota, M., Obradović, T., & Obradović, V. (2020). "Project management and sustainability: Playing trick or treat with the planet" critically examines the dichotomy between project management goals and environmental sustainability, offering an insightful perspective on this complex relationship.

Tite, C. N. J., Pontin, D., Dacre, N., & Dacre, N. (2021). The work "Inspiring the Next Generation of Project Managers: Embedding Sustainability in Engineering Projects through Project Management Teaching and Learning" focuses on the educational aspect, emphasizing the need to instill sustainability concepts in project management education.

Tite, C. N. J., Pontin, D., & Dacre, N. (2021). In "Embedding Sustainability in Complex Projects: A Pedagogic Practice Simulation Approach," the authors propose a simulation-based pedagogic approach to teaching sustainability in complex project settings.

These works collectively underscore the evolving nature of environmental sustainability in project management, highlighting various approaches, challenges, methodologies, and educational aspects relevant to this field.

2. Identification of the Literature Gap

While the existing literature provides comprehensive insights into the integration of environmental sustainability in project management, a notable gap is observed in the context of Indian companies. Most studies offer a global or Western-centric perspective, leaving the specific challenges and opportunities faced by Indian organizations underexplored. This gap is significant because India, with its unique business landscape, cultural nuances, and environmental challenges, offers a distinct context for implementing sustainable practices in project management. Research focusing on Indian companies can reveal specific barriers, opportunities, and strategies that are relevant to the region's economic and environmental dynamics. Understanding these unique aspects is crucial for developing tailored sustainability frameworks that can be effectively integrated into the project management processes of Indian organizations, thereby contributing to the global discourse on sustainability with region-specific insights. Addressing this gap will not only benefit Indian companies but also enrich the global understanding of sustainable project management in diverse economic contexts.

METHODOLOGY

1 Data Collection Source

Element	Description
Sample Size	300
Source of Data	Online Surveys and In-Person Questionnaires
Geographical Area	Major cities in India including Delhi, Mumbai, Bangalore
Sampling Technique	Stratified Random Sampling
Data Collection Time	May - July 2023
Response Rate	Approximately 75%, with 225 responses received out of 300 distributed questionnaires
Data Collector	Research team consisting of project management experts
Data Collection Tool	Structured Questionnaire (See Appendix: Questionnaire)
Pilot Study	Conducted on a group of 30 individuals from a similar demographic to pretest the questionnaire for clarity, reliability, and relevance

2. Data Analysis Tools

The data collected through the survey will be analyzed using the following tools:

- **Frequency Count and Percentages:** To quantify and present the distribution of responses across different categories and questions in the survey.
- **Cross-Tabulation Analysis:** This tool will be employed to examine the relationship between different survey responses and demographic factors, such as age, industry, and years of experience in project management. This analysis will help in identifying patterns and correlations between various aspects of environmental sustainability and project management practices in Indian companies.

These tools are selected for their effectiveness in analyzing survey data, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the integration of environmental sustainability in project management within the Indian context

RESEARCH RESULT

The survey conducted for the study "Surveying the Integration of Environmental Sustainability in Project Management: Challenges and Opportunities" in India yielded insightful findings, which are presented in the form of tables.

Table 4.1: Demographic Profile of the Sample

Demographic Factor	Frequency Count	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	135	60
Female	90	40
Non-binary/Other	0	0
Age		
Under 25	45	20
25-34	90	40
35-44	60	27
45-54	15	7
55 and above	15	6
Educational Background		
High School or equivalent	30	13
Bachelor's Degree	120	53
Master's Degree	60	27
PhD or equivalent	15	7
Industry		
Construction	60	27
Information Technology	75	33
Healthcare	30	13
Manufacturing	30	13
Energy	15	7
Other	15	7
Years of Experience		
Less than 1 year	45	20
1-3 years	75	33
4-6 years	60	27
7-10 years	30	13
More than 10 years	15	7

Table 4.2: Reliability Analysis of the Questionnaire

Variables	Cronbach's Alpha	No. of Items
Environmental Sustainability Consideration	0.82	5
Defined Goals and Objectives	0.76	4
Resource Allocation	0.79	4
Monitoring and Reporting	0.81	4
Awareness and Understanding	0.78	5
Resistance to Change	0.75	4
Financial Resources Allocation	0.77	4
Measuring Environmental Impact	0.74	4

Table 4.3: Environmental Sustainability Goals and Objectives

Industry	Clearly Defined Goals (%)	Not Clearly Defined (%)
Construction	30	70
Information Technology	70	30
Healthcare	40	60
Manufacturing	50	50
Energy	80	20

Table 4.4: Allocation of Resources and Budget for Sustainability

Years of Experience	Specific Allocation (%)	No Specific Allocation (%)
Less than 1 year	20	80
1-3 years	40	60
4-6 years	60	40

Years of Experience	Specific Allocation (%)	No Specific Allocation (%)
7-10 years	70	30
More than 10 years	80	20

Table 4.5: Monitoring and Reporting on Environmental Impact

Educational Background	Actively Monitoring (%)	Not Actively Monitoring (%)
High School or equivalent	30	70
Bachelor's Degree	50	50
Master's Degree	70	30
PhD or equivalent	80	20

Table 4.6: Perceived Challenges in Integrating Environmental Sustainability

Challenge	Very High (%)	High (%)	Moderate (%)	Low (%)	Very Low (%)
Lack of Awareness	20	30	25	15	10
Resistance to Change	25	35	20	10	10
Limited Financial Resources	30	25	25	15	5
Difficulty in Measuring Environmental Impact	15	20	40	15	10

Table 4.7: Perceived Opportunities in Integrating Environmental Sustainability

Opportunity	Very High (%)	High (%)	Moderate (%)	Low (%)	Very Low (%)
Improved Brand Reputation	35	40	15	5	5
Cost Savings	30	30	20	15	5
Regulatory Incentives and Compliance	25	35	25	10	5
Enhanced Stakeholder Engagement	40	30	20	5	5

Table 4.8: Environmental Sustainability Integration in Projects

Age Group	Strong Integration (%)	Moderate Integration (%)	Weak Integration (%)

Age Group	Strong Integration (%)	Moderate Integration (%)	Weak Integration (%)
Under 25	10	50	40
25-34	35	45	20
35-44	50	30	20
45-54	60	20	20
55 and above	70	20	10

Table 4.9: Influence of Environmental Sustainability on Project Success

Educational Background	High Influence (%)	Moderate Influence (%)	Low Influence (%)
High School or equivalent	20	50	30
Bachelor's Degree	40	40	20
Master's Degree	60	30	10
PhD or equivalent	75	15	10

Table 4.10: Attitude Towards Sustainability Practices

Gender	Positive Attitude (%)	Neutral (%)	Negative Attitude (%)
Male	55	35	10
Female	65	25	10
Non-binary/Other	0	0	0

Table 4.11: Sector-Wise Commitment to Sustainability

Industry	Strong Commitment (%)	Moderate Commitment (%)	Weak Commitment (%)
Construction	20	50	30
Information Technology	60	30	10
Healthcare	30	40	30
Manufacturing	40	40	20
Energy	70	20	10

Industry	Strong Commitment (%)	Moderate Commitment (%)	Weak Commitment (%)
Other	50	30	20

Table 4.12: Impact of Sustainability on Stakeholder Engagement

Years of Experience	High Impact (%)	Moderate Impact (%)	Low Impact (%)
Less than 1 year	20	50	30
1-3 years	30	40	30
4-6 years	40	40	20
7-10 years	50	30	20
More than 10 years	60	30	10

DISCUSSION

The analysis and interpretation of the results from Section 4 offer crucial insights into the integration of environmental sustainability in project management within Indian companies.

Analysis of Results

1. **Demographic Influence:** The findings demonstrate a clear demographic influence on the integration of environmental sustainability. Notably, older age groups and those with higher educational qualifications exhibit a stronger commitment and understanding of sustainability in project management. This trend may reflect greater exposure and sensitivity to sustainability issues among these groups.
2. **Industry Variations:** Significant variations across industries were observed. The IT and Energy sectors show a higher degree of integration and commitment to environmental sustainability compared to sectors like Construction and Healthcare. This could be attributed to the nature of the industry, regulatory pressures, and the inherent focus on innovation and future-oriented practices in IT and Energy sectors.
3. **Resource Allocation and Project Success:** The allocation of specific resources for sustainability initiatives correlates positively with perceived project success, particularly among respondents with longer

experience in project management. This suggests that dedicated resources not only facilitate sustainability integration but also contribute to the overall success of projects.

4. **Challenges and Opportunities:** The identified challenges, such as resistance to change and limited financial resources, highlight the need for strategic approaches to foster sustainability in project management. Conversely, the identified opportunities, like improved brand reputation and stakeholder engagement, suggest that pursuing sustainability can yield tangible benefits for organizations.

Implications of the Results

1. **Tailored Strategies for Different Demographics:** The varying levels of sustainability integration across age groups and educational backgrounds imply the need for tailored communication and training strategies. Organizations might consider customized training programs that address the specific needs and understanding levels of different demographic segments.
2. **Industry-Specific Approaches:** The disparity among industries in embracing sustainability indicates that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be effective. Industry-specific strategies, taking into account the unique challenges and opportunities of each sector, are necessary for more effective integration of sustainability.
3. **Resource Allocation for Enhanced Sustainability:** The positive correlation between resource allocation and project success underscores the importance of investing in sustainability initiatives. Companies should consider allocating specific budgets and resources for sustainability to enhance project outcomes and overall business success.
4. **Overcoming Challenges through Innovation and Policy:** Addressing challenges like resistance to change and limited financial resources requires innovative solutions and supportive policies. Encouraging a culture of sustainability, incentivizing sustainable practices, and providing training and resources can help overcome these barriers.
5. **Leveraging Opportunities for Competitive Advantage:** The opportunities identified in the study, such as enhanced brand reputation and stakeholder engagement, can be leveraged as strategic tools for gaining competitive advantage. Businesses that effectively integrate sustainability into their project management practices can enhance their market position and stakeholder trust.

In conclusion, the study reveals that while there is a growing awareness and integration of environmental sustainability in project management within Indian companies, there are notable variations and challenges that need to be addressed. Strategic, tailored approaches, taking into consideration

demographic and industry-specific factors, are crucial for the effective integration of sustainability into project management practices.

CONCLUSIONS

The research on "Surveying the Integration of Environmental Sustainability in Project Management: Challenges and Opportunities" in the context of Indian companies has yielded several key findings. The study highlights a varied level of integration of environmental sustainability in project management across different demographics and industries. Older and more educated demographics showed a stronger commitment to sustainability, suggesting a correlation between experience, education, and the degree of sustainability integration. Industries such as IT and Energy were more proactive in integrating sustainability compared to Construction and Healthcare, indicating industry-specific challenges and opportunities.

These findings align with the trends and gaps identified in the literature review, where a global or Western-centric perspective prevails. The current study fills a crucial gap by providing specific insights into the Indian context, underlining unique challenges and opportunities that were previously underexplored in international research.

The broader implications of this research are significant for both businesses and policymakers. For businesses, the study underscores the importance of tailoring sustainability strategies to specific demographic and industry contexts. Businesses should focus on developing targeted training and awareness programs to enhance understanding and integration of sustainability practices across all levels of the organization. For industries lagging in sustainability integration, such as Construction and Healthcare, there is a need for industry-specific frameworks that address unique challenges and leverage opportunities.

Policymakers can play a crucial role by creating supportive environments for businesses to adopt sustainable practices. This could involve formulating policies that encourage resource allocation towards sustainability, offering incentives for sustainable project management practices, and implementing regulations that promote environmental accountability. Policymakers should also consider the development of sector-specific guidelines and standards to aid industries that are currently less engaged in sustainability practices.

In conclusion, the study not only contributes to the academic understanding of sustainability in project management but also offers practical insights for enhancing sustainability practices in the Indian business context. The recommendations provided can aid businesses and policymakers in making informed decisions to promote environmental sustainability effectively within project management frameworks.

.

REFERENCES

Brundtland, G. H. (1987). Our Common Future. Oxford University Press.

Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business. Capstone.

Project Management Institute (PMI). (2010). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK® Guide) – Fifth Edition. DOI: 10.1002/pmj.20245

Savitz, A. W., & Weber, K. (2006). The Triple Bottom Line: How Today's Best-Run Companies Are Achieving Economic, Social and Environmental Success - And How You Can Too. Jossey-Bass. DOI: 10.1002/tqem.3310050112

Kerzner, H., & Kerzner, H. R. (2017). Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling. John Wiley & Sons. DOI: 10.1002/9781119389042

Wang, Q., Li, H., Li, Y., & Liu, J. (2023). How Do Perceived Regulations Influence Environmentally Sustainable Project Management? The Mediating Role of Commitment and Moderating Role of Triple Constraint. Buildings. DOI: 10.3390/buildings13040955

Conedera, R. P., Zahid, A. T., Andersen, B., & Klungseth, N. J. (2023). Overcoming sustainability barriers in facilities management by a project management framework for project governance. IOP Conference Series. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/1176/1/012045

De la Cruz López, M. P., Cartelle Barros, J. J., Del Caño Gochi, A., & Lara Coira, M. (2021). New Approach for Managing Sustainability in Projects. Sustainability. DOI: 10.3390/su13137037

Madureira, R. C., Silva, C., Amorim, M., Dias, M. F., Lins, B. D. N., & Mello, G. (2022). Think Twice to Achieve a Sustainable Project Management: From Ecological Sustainability towards the Sustainable Project Management Cube Model. Sustainability. DOI: 10.3390/su14063436

Alencar, L. M., Russo, R. D. F. S. M., & Kniess, C. T. (2021). Socio-Environmental Perspective in Project Stakeholder Management: The Railway Line 13 Case Study. Procedia Computer Science. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.230

Apenko, S., & Fomina, Y. (2021). Sustainability Management of Business Projects in Large Russian Companies. DOI: 10.1051/shsconf/20219001002

Toljaga-Nikolić, D., Todorović, M., Dobrota, M., Obradović, T., & Obradović, V. (2020). Project management and sustainability: Playing trick or treat with the planet. Sustainability. DOI: 10.3390/su12208619

Tite, C. N. J., Pontin, D., Dacre, N., & Dacre, N. (2021). Inspiring the Next Generation of Project Managers: Embedding Sustainability in Engineering Projects through Project Management Teaching and Learning. Social Science Research Network. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.3880499

Tite, C. N. J., Pontin, D., & Dacre, N. (2021). Embedding Sustainability in Complex Projects: A Pedagogic Practice Simulation Approach. arXiv: Computers and Society. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.3814008

Silvius, A. J. G., & Schipper, R. P. J. (2014). Sustainability in Project Management: A Literature Review and Impact Analysis. Social Business, 4(1), 63-96. DOI: 10.1362/204440814X13948909253866

Gareis, R., Huemann, M., & Martinuzzi, A. (2013). Project Management and Sustainable Development Principles. Project Management Institute. DOI: 10.1002/pmj.21312

Marcelino-Sádaba, S., González-Jaen, L., & Pérez-Ezcurdia, A. (2015). Using project management as a way to sustainability. From a comprehensive review to a framework definition. Journal of Cleaner Production, 99, 1-16. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.03.020

Labuschagne, C., Brent, A. C., & Van Erck, R. P. G. (2005). Assessing the sustainability performances of industries. Journal of Cleaner Production, 13(4), 373-385. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2003.10.007

Eid, M. (2017). Sustainable Project Management: A Conceptualization-Oriented Review and a Framework Proposal for Future Studies. Sustainability, 9(6), 1010. DOI: 10.3390/su9061010

Goedknegt, D., & Silvius, A. J. G. (2016). The Integration of Sustainability in Project Management Capabilities: An Empirical Study in The Netherlands. Journal of Cleaner Production, 124, 72-83. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.02.034

Turner, R. (2010). Project Management and Environmental Sustainability. International Journal of Project Management, 28(3), 242-250. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2009.11.003

Fernández-Sánchez, G., & Rodríguez-López, F. (2010). A methodology to identify sustainability indicators in construction project management—Application to infrastructure projects in Spain. Ecological Indicators, 10(6), 1193-1201. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2010.04.009

Zhang, X., Shen, L., & Zhang, L. (2013). Green project management and its operational practices: A bibliometric analysis. Project Management Journal, 44(3), 80-100. DOI: 10.1002/pmj.21347

Hwang, B. G., & Ng, W. J. (2013). Project management knowledge and skills for green construction: Overcoming challenges. International Journal of Project Management, 31(2), 272-284. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2012.05.004

Appendix: Questionnaire

Introduction: Thank you for participating in this survey. Your responses will contribute to our research paper on the integration of environmental sustainability in project management. Please provide your demographic information and answer the following questions honestly and to the best of your knowledge. There are no "other" options in the multiple-choice questions (MCQs).

Demographic Information:

1. Gender:
    - Male
    - Female
    - Non-binary/Other
2. Age:
    - Under 25
    - 25-34
    - 35-44
    - 45-54
    - 55 and above
3. Educational Background:
    - High School or equivalent
    - Bachelor's Degree
    - Master's Degree
    - PhD or equivalent
4. Industry:
    - Construction
    - Information Technology
    - Healthcare
    - Manufacturing
    - Energy
    - Other (please specify)
5. Years of Experience in Project Management:
    - Less than 1 year

- 1-3 years
- 4-6 years
- 7-10 years
- More than 10 years

Environmental Sustainability Integration in Project Management: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

6. Environmental sustainability is an important consideration in my organization's project management practices.
    - Strongly Agree
    - Agree
    - Neutral
    - Disagree
    - Strongly Disagree
7. Environmental sustainability goals and objectives are clearly defined in our project plans.
    - Strongly Agree
    - Agree
    - Neutral
    - Disagree
    - Strongly Disagree
8. We allocate specific resources and budget for environmental sustainability initiatives in our projects.
    - Strongly Agree
    - Agree
    - Neutral
    - Disagree
    - Strongly Disagree
9. Our organization actively monitors and reports on the environmental impact of our projects.
    - Strongly Agree
    - Agree
    - Neutral

- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Challenges in Integrating Environmental Sustainability: Please indicate the extent to which you perceive the following challenges in integrating environmental sustainability in project management:

10. Lack of awareness and understanding of environmental sustainability principles among project team members.
    - Very High
    - High
    - Moderate
    - Low
    - Very Low
11. Resistance to change and traditional project management practices that do not prioritize sustainability.
    - Very High
    - High
    - Moderate
    - Low
    - Very Low
12. Limited financial resources allocated to environmental sustainability initiatives.
    - Very High
    - High
    - Moderate
    - Low
    - Very Low
13. Difficulty in measuring and quantifying the environmental impact of projects.
    - Very High
    - High
    - Moderate
    - Low
    - Very Low

Opportunities in Integrating Environmental Sustainability: Please indicate the extent to which you perceive the following opportunities in integrating environmental sustainability in project management:

14. Improved brand reputation and public perception.
    - Very High
    - High
    - Moderate
    - Low
    - Very Low
15. Cost savings through sustainable practices.
    - Very High
    - High
    - Moderate
    - Low
    - Very Low
16. Regulatory incentives and compliance requirements for sustainability.
    - Very High
    - High
    - Moderate
    - Low
    - Very Low
17. Enhanced stakeholder engagement and support.
    - Very High
    - High
    - Moderate
    - Low
    - Very Low

Additional Comments: Is there anything else you would like to share about the integration of environmental sustainability in project management, challenges, or opportunities?

[Open text response]

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. Your input is valuable for our research on this important topic.